Journées Équations aux dérivées partielles

Daniel Bättig
Jean-Claude Guillot

The Fermi surface for the discretized Maxwell equations

Journées Équations aux dérivées partielles (1991), p. 1-6
<http://www.numdam.org/item?id=JEDP_1991____A11_0>

© Journées Équations aux dérivées partielles, 1991, tous droits réservés.

L'accès aux archives de la revue « Journées Équations aux dérivées partielles » (http://www.math.sciences.univ-nantes.fr/edpa/) implique l'accord avec les conditions générales d'utilisation (http://www.numdam.org/legal.php). Toute utilisation commerciale ou impression systématique est constitutive d'une infraction pénale. Toute copie ou impression de ce fichier doit contenir la présente mention de copyright.

Numdam
Article numérisé dans le cadre du programme
Numérisation de documents anciens mathématiques
http://www.numdam.org/

The Fermi surface for the discretized Maxwell equations

D.Bättig and J.C.Guillot
Département de Mathématiques et Informatique
Université Paris Nord
Av. Jean Baptiste Clément
93430 Villetaneuse, France

1. Introduction

Let $\Gamma = a_1\mathbf{Z} \oplus a_2\mathbf{Z} \oplus a_3\mathbf{Z}$ be a lattice of $\mathbf{R}^3$. The shifted cell problem for Maxwell's system has the following form : For each $k \in \mathbf{R}^3$ one considers

$$\nabla \wedge H = -i\omega\varepsilon E, \nabla \cdot (\varepsilon E) = 0$$

$$-\nabla \wedge E = -i\omega\mu H, \nabla \cdot (\mu H) = 0$$

with boundary conditions

$$E(x+\gamma) = e^{i<k,\gamma>}E(x), H(x+\gamma) = e^{i<k,\gamma>}H(x)$$

for all $\gamma \in \Gamma$, where E (resp.H) are in $H^1_{loc}(\mathbf{R}^3)^3$ and $\varepsilon(x)$,$\mu(x)$ are smooth positive diagonal 3×3 matrices of Γ-periodic functions. Eliminating H and supposing $\mu = 1$ one gets an eigenvalue problem for E :

$$A(\varepsilon)E \stackrel{def}{=} \varepsilon^{-1}\nabla \wedge (\nabla \wedge E) = \lambda E \tag{1}$$

$$D(\varepsilon)E \stackrel{def}{=} \nabla \cdot (\varepsilon E) = 0 \tag{2}$$

$$\text{with} \qquad E(x+\gamma) = e^{i<k,\gamma>}E(x) \quad \forall \gamma \in \Gamma. \tag{3}$$

(1) and (3) form a self adjoint boundary value problem yielding a discrete spectrum

$$... \leq E_{-2}(k) \leq E_{-1}(k) \leq E_0(k) = 0 \leq E_1(k) \leq ...$$

where $E_j(k)$ depends continously on k. It is periodic in the dual lattice

$$\Gamma^\sharp = \{b \in \mathbf{R}^3 \mid < b, \Gamma > \subset 2\pi\mathbf{Z}\}.$$

In particular $\lambda = 0$ is an eigenvalue of infinite geometric multiplicity, with eigenspace

$$N(k) = \{E \in L^2_{loc}(\mathbf{R}^3)^3 \mid \nabla \wedge E = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad (3)\}.$$

These eigenvectors do not satisfy $\nabla \cdot (\varepsilon E) = 0$ and if λ is an eigenvalue of (1) different from zero then the corresponding eigenvectors fulfill $\nabla \cdot (\varepsilon E) = 0$. In view of the periodicity with respect to $\Gamma^\sharp$, one can replace (3) by

$$E(x+\gamma) = \xi_1^{\gamma_1}\xi_2^{\gamma_2}\xi_3^{\gamma_3}E(x) \tag{4}$$

where $(\gamma_1, \gamma_2, \gamma_3)$ are the coordinates of γ in Γ; and one defines the (physical) Fermi surface $\mathcal{F}_{phys,\lambda}(\varepsilon)$ as

$$\mathcal{F}_{phys,\lambda}(\varepsilon) = \{(\xi_1, \xi_2, \xi_3) \in (S^1)^3 \mid E_n(\xi) = \lambda \quad \text{for} \quad \text{some} \quad n \neq 0\}.$$

We also consider solutions ξ in $(\mathbb{C}^*)^3$,therefore we define the (complex) Fermi surface for $\lambda \neq 0$

$$\mathcal{F}_{\lambda}(\varepsilon) = \{(\xi_1, \xi_2, \xi_3) \in (\mathbb{C}^*)^3 \mid \exists E \neq 0 \quad \text{solving} \quad (1), (2), (4)\}.$$

Clearly $\mathcal{F}_{phys,\lambda}(\varepsilon) \subset \mathcal{F}_{\lambda}(\varepsilon)$. Using regularized determinants and decomposing the operator $A(\varepsilon)$ as in [I] it can be shown that $\mathcal{F}_{\lambda}(\varepsilon)$ is a complex hypersurface in $(\mathbb{C}^*)^3$. One is interested in the following questions :

- Does $\mathcal{F}_{phys,\lambda}(\varepsilon)$ determines $\mathcal{F}_{\lambda}(\varepsilon)$?
- Does the geometry of $\mathcal{F}_{\lambda}(\varepsilon)$ contains isospectral information?
- Does $\mathcal{F}_{\lambda}(\varepsilon)$ determines (generically) ε?

In order to focus on this geometric aspects we consider a discrete approximation. Here the analogue of the Fermi surface is an algebraic variety.

2. The discrete model

Inside $\mathbb{Z}^3$ we take the lattice $\Gamma = \bigoplus_{j=1,2,3} \mathbb{Z} a_j e_j$, where e_j is the j-th standard basis vector and all the a_j are distinct, greater two and relatively prime. Let $\varepsilon = (\varepsilon_i \delta_{ij})$ with $\varepsilon_i : \mathbb{Z}^3 \to \mathbb{R}_+$ be periodic with respect to Γ. The operators $\varepsilon A(\varepsilon)$ and $D(\varepsilon)$ are discretized by replacing the partial derivates ∂_i by the operators $S^{e_i} - S^{-e_i}$, where S^{α} is the shift operator acting on functions $\mathbb{Z}^3 \to \mathbb{C}$ by

$$(S^{\alpha} f)(m) = f(m + \alpha).$$

We don't change the notation for the discretized operators.

For $\lambda \neq 0$ the Fermi surface is

$$\mathcal{F}_{\lambda}(\varepsilon) = \{(\xi_1, \xi_2, \xi_3) \in (\mathbb{C}^*)^3 \mid \exists E \neq 0 \quad \text{with} \quad A(\varepsilon)E = \lambda E,$$

$$D(\varepsilon)E = 0, S^{a_i e_i} E = \xi_i E, i = 1, 2, 3\}.$$

Due to the boundary conditions, the vector E is determined by its $a_1 a_2 a_3$ values on the fundamental domain of Γ . So $\mathcal{F}_{\lambda}(\varepsilon)$ translates into an eigenvalue problem for a $3a_1 a_2 a_3 \times 3a_1 a_2 a_3$ matrix, and $\mathcal{F}_{\lambda}(\varepsilon)$ is then given by the zero set of a polynomial in the variables $\xi_1, \xi_1^{-1}, \xi_2, \xi_2^{-1}, \xi_3, \xi_3^{-1}$.

3. Results

We have

Theorem 1. *Assume* $\varepsilon_1(m) < \varepsilon_2(m) < \varepsilon_3(m) \quad \forall m \in \mathbb{Z}^3$ *then* $\mathcal{F}_\lambda(\varepsilon)$ *is irreducible.*

It follows, that if $\mathcal{F}_{phys,\lambda}(\varepsilon)$ contains a piece of a two-dimensional real surface, then $\mathcal{F}_{phys,\lambda}(\varepsilon)$ determines $\mathcal{F}_\lambda(\varepsilon)$.

The idea of the proof is to construct a compactification $\overline{\mathcal{F}_\lambda(\varepsilon)}$ of $\mathcal{F}_\lambda(\varepsilon)$, such that the generic points added at "infinity" are smooth points of $\overline{\mathcal{F}_\lambda(\varepsilon)}$.

Naively one could try to compactify $\mathcal{F}_\lambda(\varepsilon)$ by embedding $(\mathbb{C}^*)^3$ in $(\mathbb{P})^3$ and closing the Fermi surface in there. This doesn't work, since the new points added to $\mathcal{F}_\lambda(\varepsilon)$ are highly singular. Instead we construct, motivated by an idea of Mumford (see [M]), as in [B1] an intrinsic compactification of $\mathcal{F}_\lambda(\varepsilon)$ by embedding the algebraic torus $T = (\mathbb{C}^*)^3$ in the toroidal compactification X_Σ of T corresponding to the fan Σ in $\mathbb{R}^3$ of the cones over the faces of the 6 prisms of the following picture :

$$1 \stackrel{def}{=} (+a_1,+a_2,+a_3), 2 \stackrel{def}{=} (-a_1,+a_2,+a_3)$$
$$3 \stackrel{def}{=} (-a_1,-a_2,+a_3), 4 \stackrel{def}{=} (+a_1,-a_2,+a_3)$$
$$5 \stackrel{def}{=} (+a_1,+a_2,-a_3), 6 \stackrel{def}{=} (-a_1,+a_2,-a_3)$$
$$7 \stackrel{def}{=} (-a_1,-a_2,-a_3), 8 \stackrel{def}{=} (+a_1,-a_2,-a_3)$$



The corresponding toroidal "octahedron" is a singular complete algebraic variety with one-dimensional singular locus. The latter is stratified into 18 T-orbits, 12 of dimension 1 and 6 of dimension 0. The one-dimensional orbits correspond to the codimension one cones over the 8 edges of the above cube. These curves have transversal A_k type, with $k = 2a_i - 1$ $(i = 1,2,3)$. The zero dimensional orbits in the closure of the one-dimensional orbits correspond to the zero-codimensional faces. Take now the closure of $\mathcal{F}_\lambda(\varepsilon)$ in the octahedron X_Σ . The resulting variety is always singular in , assuming $\varepsilon_1(m) < \varepsilon_2(m) < \varepsilon_3(m)$ for all $m \in \mathbb{Z}$, $12 \cdot 4$ points , where it meets the one-dimensional singular locus of the toroidal embedding. Blowing-up these singular points in the octahedron gives the compactified Fermi surface $\overline{\mathcal{F}_\lambda(\varepsilon)}$.

One shows that the divisor $\overline{\mathcal{F}_\lambda(\varepsilon)} - \mathcal{F}_\lambda(\varepsilon)$ is a connected union of reduced, irreducible curves, intersecting transversally. Furthermore $\overline{\mathcal{F}_\lambda(\varepsilon)}$ is smooth on the smooth points of $\overline{\mathcal{F}_\lambda(\varepsilon)} - \mathcal{F}_\lambda(\varepsilon)$. This induces Theorem 1.

Observe now that the Fermi surface $\mathcal{F}_\lambda(\varepsilon)$ is the locus of points in $(\mathbb{C}^*)^3$, where the operators

$$A(\varepsilon) - \lambda 1, D(\varepsilon), S^{a_i e_i} - \xi_i 1 \ (i = 1,2,3)$$

have a common kernel in the space $F = \{E : \mathbb{Z}^3 \to \mathbb{C}^3\}$. This means that $\mathcal{F}_\lambda(\varepsilon)$ is the support of the subsheaf $\mathcal{L}_\lambda$ of the trivial bundle $\mathcal{F}_\lambda(\varepsilon) \times F$ given by

$$\mathcal{L}_\lambda = \{((\xi_1, \xi_2, \xi_3), E) \in (\mathbb{C}^*)^3 \times F \mid \text{the above operators have a common kernel}\}.$$

Theorem 2. *$\mathcal{L}_\lambda$ can be extended to a sheaf over his compactification $\overline{\mathcal{F}_\lambda(\varepsilon)}$.*

By this the curves at "infinity" occurs as the support of one-dimensional spectral problems. For this we introduce the well known (see [vM-M]) one-dimensional Bloch variety $\mathcal{B}_a(W)$ defined by

$$\mathcal{B}_a(W) \stackrel{def}{=} \{(\xi, \lambda) \in \mathbb{C}^* \times \mathbb{C} \mid \text{there exists a nontrivial solution } \psi : \mathbb{Z} \to \mathbb{C} \text{ solving}$$

$$-[\psi(m-2) - 2\psi(m) + \psi(m+2)] + W(m)\psi(m) = \lambda\psi(m), \psi(m+a) = \xi\psi(m)\}$$

where $W : \mathbb{Z} \to \mathbb{C}$ has period a, a odd. $\mathcal{B}_a(W)$ is a double covering of a hyperelliptic curve of arithmetic genus $2a - 2$.

One then has, again under the assumption of Theorem 1 :

Theorem 3. *$\overline{\mathcal{F}_\lambda(\varepsilon)} - \mathcal{F}_\lambda(\varepsilon)$ contains the Bloch varieties $\mathcal{B}_{a_i}(W_i)$ with*

$$W_i(m_i) = \frac{1}{a_j a_k} \sum_{m_j, m_k} \varepsilon_i(m_1, m_2, m_3), \quad (i, j, k) \in S_3$$

4. Sketch of the proof of Theorem 3

$\mathcal{B}_{a_1}(W_1)$ is in the chart V of the blown-up octahedron. This chart is generated by the coordinates $(x, z, \mu) \in \mathbb{C}^* \times \mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{C}$. On $V \cap (\mathbb{C}^*)^3$ we have

$$x = \xi_1^{-1}, z = \xi_2^{y_0}\xi_3^{z_0}, \mu z^2 = 1 + \xi_2^{-2a_3}\xi_3^{2a_2}$$

where $(y_0, z_0) \in \mathbb{Z}^2$ with $a_2 y_0 + a_3 z_0 = 1$. Furthermore the fiber F over V is glued with the fiber F on $(\mathbb{C}^*)^3$ by

$$E(m_1, m_2, m_3) = z^{m_2 + m_3} E^V(m_1, m_2, m_3).$$

Finally one has $V - (V \cap (\mathbb{C}^*)^3) = \{z = 0\}$.

Now $S^{a_1 e_1} E = \xi_1 E$ transforms to

$$S^{-a_1 e_1} E^V = x E^V. \tag{5}$$

Since $S^{(0, a_2 y_0, a_3 z_0)} E = \xi_2^{y_0} \xi_3^{z_0} E = zE$, using the transition function we have

$$S^{(0, a_2 y_0, a_3 z_0)} E_1^V = E_1^V. \tag{6}$$

A straightfoward calculation shows, that putting the transition function in

$$A(\varepsilon)S^{2(0,a_2y_0,a_3z_0)}E = \lambda z^2 E$$

gives on $z = 0$

$$(-S^{-2e_2} - S^{-2e_3})E_1^V = 0, -S^{-2e_3}E_2^V + S^{-(e_2+e_3)}E_3^V = 0 \qquad (7)$$

and $D(\varepsilon)S^{(0,a_2y_0,a_3z_0)}E = 0$ translates on $z = 0$ to

$$S^{(0,-1,0)}(\varepsilon_2 E_2^V) + S^{(0,0,-1)}(\varepsilon_3 E_3^V) = 0. \qquad (8)$$

From (7) and (8) it follows, using $\varepsilon_1(m) < \varepsilon_2(m) < \varepsilon_3(m)$ for all $m \in \mathbf{Z}^3$, that

$$E_2^V = E_3^V = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad S^{(0,-2,2)}E_1^V = -E_1^V. \qquad (9)$$

Observe now that $S^{(0,-a_2a_3,a_2a_3)}E = (\mu z^2 - 1)E$, i.e. we get on $z = 0$ $S^{(0,-a_2a_3,a_2a_3)}E_1^V = -E_1^V$. Since a_2 and a_3 are relatively prime and different from 2 , it follows with (9) that

$$S^{(0,-1,1)}E_1^V = \kappa E_1^V \quad \text{with} \quad \kappa^2 = -1. \qquad (10)$$

This shows that we have the boundary conditions for E_1^V given by :

$$S^{-a_1e_1}E_1^V = xE_1^V, S^{(0,a_2y_0,a_3z_0)}E_1^V = E_1^V,$$

$$S^{(0,-1,1)}E_1^V = \kappa E_1^V.$$

Now we also have $z^{-2}(1 + S^{(0,-2a_2a_3,2a_2a_3)})E = \mu E$. But

$$1 + S^{(0,-2a_2a_3,2a_2a_3)} = \sum_{i=0}^{a_2a_3-1} (-1)^i (S^{i(0,-2,2)} + S^{(i+1)(0,-2,2)}). \qquad (11)$$

Using $A(\varepsilon)E = \lambda E$ and $D(\varepsilon)E = 0$ one gets after some calculation

$$(S^{i(0,-2,2)} + S^{(i+1)(0,-2,2)})E_1^V =$$

$$z^2(-S^{(-2,0,2)} + 2S^{(0,0,2)} - S^{(2,0,2)})S^{i(0,-2,2)}E_1^V + z^2 S^{i(0,-2,2)}S^{(0,0,2)}(\varepsilon_1 E_1^V) + z^3(...).$$

Since by (9) $S^{i(0,-2,2)}E_1^V = (-1)^i E_1^V$ we have for (11) on $z = 0$

$$z^{-2}(1 + S^{(0,-2a_2a_3,2a_2a_3)})E_1^V =$$

$$a_2a_3(-S^{(-2,0,0)} + 2 - S^{(2,0,0)})E_1^V + (\sum_{i=0}^{a_2a_3-1} \varepsilon_1(m_1, m_2 - 2i, m_3 + 2i))E_1^V = \mu E_1^V$$

i.e.

$$(-S^{(-2,0,0)} + 2 - S^{(2,0,0)})E_1^V + \frac{1}{a_2 a_3}(\sum_{m_2,m_3} \varepsilon_1(m_1,m_2,m_3))\ E_1^V = \mu E_1^V .$$

This shows that one gets the Bloch variety $\mathcal{B}_{a_1}(W_1)$.

5. Related results

The questions posed in the introduction were answered for the operator $-\Delta + V$ in dimension 2 and 3.

Gieseker, Knörrer, Trubowitz have shown that in dimension 2 the Bloch variety is irreducible (in the discrete case [GKT], in the continous case [KT]). Moreover for the discrete model for generic potentials V the Bloch variety determines the potential up to obvious symmetries. This has been generalized by Kappeler in [K] to higher dimensions.

There exists for the discretized model also using toroidal embeddings an intrinsic compactification of the Bloch variety in dimension 2 and for the Fermi surface in dimension 3 (see [B1], [B2]).

For an overview of these and more stronger results consider [P] .

6. Bibliography

[B1] Bättig, D. A toroidal compactification of the complex Fermi surface. Preprint 1990

[B2] Bättig, D. A toroidal compactification of the two dimensional Bloch variety. Thesis, ETH Zürich 1988

[GKT] Gieseker, D; Knörrer, H; Trubowitz, E. The geometry of algebraic Fermi curves. To appear

[I] Ikebe, T. Remarks on non-elliptic stationary wavez propagation problems. Japan J. Math. Vol 6, No 2, 1980

[K] Kappeler, T. On isospectral potentials on a discrete lattice II. To appear in Adv. in Appl. Math

[KT] Knörrer, H; Trubowitz, E. A directional compactification of the complex Bloch variety. Comm. Math. Helv. 65 (1990) 114 - 149

[P] Peters, C. Algebraic Fermi curves (after Gieseker, Knörrer, Trubowitz). Sém. Bourbaki 89 / 90 No 723