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Abstract

A Liouville type theorem is proven for the steady-state Navier-Stokes equations. It follows from the corresponding theorem on the Stokes equations with the drift. The drift is supposed to belong to a certain Morrey space.

1. The Main Result

The classical Liouville type theorem for the stationary Navier-Stokes equations can be stated as follows: show that any bounded solution to the system

\[ u \cdot \nabla u - \Delta u = \nabla p, \quad \text{div } u = 0 \]  

is constant. This problem has not been solved yet and even it is not clear if it has a positive answer.

Another popular problem is to show that any solution to system (1.1), satisfying two conditions:

\[ \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla u|^2 \, dx < \infty. \] (1.2)

and

\[ u(x) \to 0 \text{ as } |x| \to \infty, \] (1.3)

is identically equal to zero. Unfortunately, it is still unknown whether this statement is true or not.

However, some attempts have been made to solve the above or related problems. One of the best results in that direction can be found in [4] where it is shown that the assumption

\[ u \in L_2^2(\mathbb{R}^3) \] (1.4)

implies \( u = 0 \). Very recently, condition (1.4) has been improved logarithmically in [3].

Another set of admissible functions for solutions to (1.1), in which the Liouville type theorem is valid, has been described in [9]. To be precise, any solution to (1.1), obeying the inclusion

\[ u \in L_6(\mathbb{R}^3) \cap BMO^{-1}(\mathbb{R}^3), \] (1.5)

is identically equal to zero.

For more Liouville type results, we refer the reader to interesting papers [6], [7], [2], and [1] and references there.

Our short note is inspired by paper [8] by Nazarov-Uraltseva about properties of solutions to elliptic and parabolic linear equations with divergence free drift. Although their approach works for scalar equations only, similar assumptions on the drift occur in the vectorial case as well. We formulate our result as a statement of the linear theory, considering the following steady-state Stokes system with the drift

\[ u \cdot \nabla v - \Delta v = \nabla q, \quad \text{div } v = 0, \quad \text{div } u = 0. \] (1.6)
Theorem 1.1. Suppose that smooth functions \( u \) and \( v \) satisfy (1.6) and two additional conditions:
\[
M := \sup_{R>0} R^{1 - \frac{3}{q}} \| u \|_{L^{\infty}(B(R))} < \infty \quad (1.7)
\]
with \( 3/2 < q \leq 3 \) and
\[
N := \sup_{R>0} R^{\frac{1}{2} - \frac{3}{q}} \| v \|_{s,B(R)} < \infty \quad (1.8)
\]
with \( 2 \leq s \leq 6 \). Then \( v \equiv 0 \) in \( \mathbb{R}^3 \).

Here, \( L^{\infty}(\Omega) \) stands for a weak Lebesgue space, which is a particular Lorentz space \( L^{q,r}(\Omega) \) and \( L^{q}(\Omega) \) is a usual Lebesgue space. And finally we use the abbreviation: \( \| f \|_{s,w} = \| f \|_{L_s(w)} \).

It is an interesting question to understand difference between above conditions (1.4) and (1.7), (1.8) for \( u = v \). To this end, assume that there exists a divergence free field \( w_R \) and a function \( v \) that is smooth in \( \Omega \) and satisfies the identity \( \text{div} w_R = \nabla v \cdot \nabla \). and the inequality
\[
\| \nabla w_R \|_{L^{q',2,\omega}(B(R))} \leq c_0 \| \nabla \varphi \cdot \nabla \|_{L^{q',2,\omega}(B(R))} \leq \frac{c_0}{R - r} \| \nabla \|_{L^{q',2,\omega}(B(R))}. \quad (2.1)
\]
Moreover, by interpolation and Hardy-Littlewood-Sobolev inequality, we also have a bound for the right hand side of (2.1):
\[
\| \nabla \|_{L^{q',2,\omega}(B(R))} < c(q) \| \|_{L^{1/2,2}(B(R))} \| \nabla v \|_{L^{2,2}(B(R))}. \quad (2.2)
\]

Now, let us test the first equation in (1.6) with the function \( \varphi v - w_R \), integrate by parts in \( B(R) \), and find the following identity
\[
\int_{B(R)} \varphi |\nabla v|^2 dx = - \int_{B(R)} \nabla v : (\nabla \varphi \otimes \nabla) dx + \int_{B(R)} \nabla w_R : \nabla v dx
- \int_{B(R)} (u \cdot \nabla v) \cdot \varphi v dx + \int_{B(R)} (u \cdot \nabla v) \cdot w_R dx = I_1 + I_2 + I_3 + I_4.
\]

\( I_1 \) can be estimated easily. As a result, the below bound is valid:
\[
|I_1| \leq \frac{c}{R - r} \| \nabla v \|_{2,2,B(R)} \| \nabla v \|_{2,2,B(R)}.
\]

As to \( I_2 \), by Hölder inequality, we have
\[
|I_2| \leq \| \nabla v \|_{2,2,B(R)} \| \nabla w_R \|_{2,2,B(R)} = \| \nabla v \|_{2,2,B(R)} \| \nabla w_R \|_{L^{2,2,\omega}(B(R))}
\leq \| \nabla v \|_{2,2,B(R)} \| \nabla w_R \|_{L^{2,2,\omega}(B(R))} \| 1 \|_{L^{2,2,\omega}(B(R))} \leq c R^{\frac{3}{2}} \| \nabla v \|_{2,2,B(R)} \| \nabla w_R \|_{L^{2,2,\omega}(B(R))}.
\]

Now, taking into account (2.1) and (2.2), one can derive from the latter estimate the following:
\[
|I_2| \leq c \| \nabla v \|_{2,2,B(R)} \frac{R^{\frac{3}{2}}}{R - r} \| \nabla v \|_{2,2,B(R)} \| \nabla v \|_{2,2,B(R)} \leq c \frac{R^{\frac{3}{2}}}{R - r} \| \nabla v \|_{2,2,B(R)} \| \nabla v \|_{2,2,B(R)} \left( \frac{1}{R} \right) \| \nabla v \|_{2,2,B(R)} \right)^{1 - \frac{3}{q}}.
\]

IX–2
Let us start evaluation of $I_3$ with integration by parts that gives

$$I_3 = \frac{1}{2} \int_{B(R)} |\nabla|^2 u \cdot \nabla \varphi \, dx.$$ 

Hence,

$$|I_3| \leq \frac{c}{R - r} \|u\|_{L^{r} (B(R))} \|\nabla|^2 \|_{L^{r'} (B(R))} \leq \frac{c}{R - r} \|u\|_{L^{r} (B(R))} \|\nabla|^2 \|_{L^{r'} (B(R))}$$

$$\leq \frac{c}{R - r} \|u\|_{L^{r} (B(R))} \|\nabla w_R\|_{L^{r'} (B(R))} \|\nabla|^2 \|_{L^{r'} (B(R))},$$

where

$$M_0 = \sup_{0 < R < 2} \frac{R - r}{R} \|u\|_{L^{r} (B(R))}.$$ 

The last term can be estimated in a similar way. Indeed, integrating by parts and applying Hölder inequality,

$$|I_4| = \left| \int_{B(R)} (u \cdot \nabla w_R) \cdot \nabla \varphi \, dx \right| \leq \|u\|_{L^{r} (B(R))} \|\nabla w_R\|_{L^{r'} (B(R))} \|\nabla \varphi\|_{L^{r'} (B(R))}$$

$$\leq \|u\|_{L^{r} (B(R))} \|\nabla w_R\|_{L^{r'} (B(R))} \|\nabla \varphi\|_{L^{r'} (B(R))} \leq \frac{c}{R - r} \|u\|_{L^{r} (B(R))} \|\nabla w_R\|_{L^{r'} (B(R))} \|\nabla \varphi\|_{L^{r'} (B(R))},$$

The right hand side of the latter inequality has been already estimated. Hence, we find

$$|I_4| \leq \frac{c}{R - r} M_0 \left( \frac{1}{R^2} \|\nabla|^2 \|_{L^{r'} (B(R))} \right)^{1 - \frac{q}{2r'}} \|\nabla \varphi\|_{L^{r'} (B(R))}^{\frac{q}{2r'}}.$$

Summarising four above estimates, we show

$$f(r) \leq \frac{c}{R - r} f^2(R) \left( \frac{1}{R^2} \|\nabla|^2 \|_{L^{r'} (B(R))} \right)^{1 - \frac{q}{2r'}} + \frac{c}{R - r} f(R) \frac{(1 + \frac{q}{r})}{2} \left( \frac{1}{R^2} \|\nabla|^2 \|_{L^{r'} (B(R))} \right)^{1 - \frac{q}{2r'}}$$

$$+ \frac{c}{R - r} M_0 \left( \frac{1}{R^2} \|\nabla|^2 \|_{L^{r'} (B(R))} \right)^{1 - \frac{q}{2r'}} (f(R))^{\frac{q}{2r'}},$$

where

$$f(R) = \|\nabla v\|_{L^{r'} (B(R))}.$$ 

For any $1 \leq R \leq 2$,

$$\frac{1}{R^2} \|\nabla|^2 \|_{L^{r'} (B(R))} \leq \|\nabla|^2 \|_{L^{r'} (B(2))},$$

with $\tilde{v} = v - [v]_{B(2)}$.

Given $\varepsilon > 0$, applying Young inequality, we find

$$f(r) \leq \varepsilon f(R) + c(M_0, q, \varepsilon) \|\tilde{v}\|_{L^{r'} (B(2))}^2 \left( \frac{1}{(R - r)^2} + \frac{1}{(R - r)^{\kappa_1}} + \frac{1}{(R - r)^{\kappa_2}} \right),$$

for any $1 \leq R \leq 2$, where

$$\kappa_1 = \frac{1}{2} \left( 1 - \frac{\varepsilon}{2q} \right), \quad \kappa_2 = \frac{1}{1 - \frac{\varepsilon}{2q}}.$$ 

As it has been shown in [5], there exists a positive number $\varepsilon$ depending on $M_0$ and $q$ only such that

$$\int_{B(1)} \|\nabla v\|^2 \, dx \leq c(M_0, q) \int_{B(2)} \|v - [v]_{B(2)}\|^2 \, dx.$$ 

It is known that the Navier-Stokes equations are invariant with respect to the shift and the scaling of the form $v(x, t) \rightarrow \lambda v(\lambda x, \lambda^2 t)$, $q(x, t) \rightarrow \lambda^2 q(\lambda x, \lambda^2 t)$.

This allows us to get the required Caccioppoli type inequality

$$\int_{B(\bar{x}_0, R)} \|\nabla v\|^2 \, dx \leq c(M, q) \frac{1}{R^2} \int_{B(\bar{x}_0, 2R)} \|v - [v]_{B(\bar{x}_0, 2R)}\|^2 \, dx$$

(2.3)
2.2. Proof of Theorem 1.1

We can put \( x_0 = 0 \) and use the following simple inequality

\[
\frac{1}{R^2} \int_{B(2R)} |v - [v]_{B(2R)}|^2 \, dx \leq c \frac{1}{R^2} \int_{B(2R)} |v|^2 \, dx \leq \frac{1}{R^{2\left(\frac{3}{2} - \frac{1}{4}\right)}} \|v\|_{s,B(2R)}^2 \leq c N^2
\]

for any \( R > 0 \). Passing \( R \to \infty \), we conclude that

\[
\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla v|^2 \, dx < \infty.
\]

The rest of the proof is the same as in [9].
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